The National Energy and Utility Affordability Coalition

LIHEAP needs at least \$4.7 billion in FY17

Kentucky's LIHEAP Fun	ding Down 34%	from FY10 to]	FY15
-----------------------	---------------	----------------	------

	Fiscal Year National		Total Kentuck		xy's Allocation ¹	
1	2017 (President)	\$3.00 bi	llion	\$4	1,284,541	
	2016 (est.)	\$3.39 bi	llion	\$4	6,610,135	
	2015	\$3.39 billion		\$4	4,896,434	
	2014	\$3.42 billion		\$4	8,288,203	
	2013	\$3.25 billion		\$4	4,896,434	
	2012	\$3.47 billion		\$4	46,423,070	
	2011	\$4.71 billion		\$61,110,768		
	2010	\$5.10 bi	llion	\$6	7,832,373	
State ² Households Eligible (5 year average)		s Eligible	Hou	seholds	% Households	
		Serve	d (FY15)	NOT Served (FY15		
Kentu	tucky 560,457		12	5,580	78%	

The Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) provides critical home heating and cooling help to millions of vulnerable American families. After long underfunding LIHEAP, Congress appropriated \$5.1 billion for the program in FY09 and FY10, and \$4.7 billion in FY11. Since then, funding has fallen to \$3.39 billion in FY16. As a result, more than one million eligible US households are not receiving assistance each year, and individual grants have been reduced from an average of \$520 to \$413 per year. This is occurring even while the number of at-risk households remains virtually unchanged from their all-time highs.³

Why Fund LIHEAP at \$4.7 Billion?

LIHEAP is Not an Entitlement:

Unlike entitlement programs that receive funding increases with growth in the eligible population, LIHEAP is funded by the annual congressional appropriations process. While states set eligibility rates, the income *maximum* is 150 percent of the federal poverty guideline or 60 percent of a state's median income. For example, 150 percent of the FY17 poverty guideline for a family of three is \$30,135.⁴ Most LIHEAP recipients earn much less than the maximum thresholds: the most recent data show that a typical LIHEAP recipient household had a median income of 83.5 percent of the federal poverty guideline.⁵

The Need for LIHEAP Remains High:

In 2014, the national poverty rate was 14.8 percent, and 46.7 million Americans lived in poverty. These numbers, at or near all-time US highs, have been virtually unchanged since the end of the recession.⁶

LIHEAP Prioritizes Vulnerable Households:

State LIHEAP administrators report that nearly 73 percent of LIHEAP recipient households had at least one vulnerable person – a senior age 60 or older, a child age 5 and under, or an individual with a disability.⁷

LIHEAP Helps Veterans:

LIHEAP recipient households containing a veteran jumped 150+ percent over three years – from about 700,000 in FY08 to 1.78 million in FY11.8

NEUAC's Recommendation to Congress: NEUAC urges Congress to restore LIHEAP to at least \$4.7 billion, to concentrate funds in base grants, and to reject efforts that siphon appropriated funds from LIHEAP's core energyassistance mission.

loads/2016/02/purchasingpower1216.pdf

"Income and Poverty in the United States: 2014"

FY10-15 Data, HHS; FY16-17 data does not include tribal funding - from HHS FY2017 Budget Justification: https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/olab/final cj 2017 print.pdf

Eligible Households developed using most recent 5-year (2010-2014) ACS PUMS File. Households Served from state reports.

³ US Census Bureau: "Income and Poverty in the United States: 2014" http://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2015/demo/p60-252.pdf; NEADA Purchasing Power Table http://neada.org/wp-

⁴ LIHEAP IM 2015-03 http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ocs/resource/liheap-hhs-poverty-guidelines-for-optional-use-in-ffy-2015-and-mandatory-use-in-ffy-2016 ⁵ HHS FY2017 Budget Justification

NEADA 2014 LIHEAP Household Report http://neada.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/State-Table-FY14-Households-Served.pdf

[&]quot;LIHEAP Recipients by Veteran Status" http://neada.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/LIHEAP Veteran Study V3.pdf